

# RESERVE COPY

## PATENT SPECIFICATION

Application Date: Dec. 23, 1938. No. 37409/38.

523,061

Complete Specification Left: Nov. 28, 1939.

Complete Specification Accepted: July 4, 1940.



### PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION

#### Improvements in or relating to Optical Objectives

We, TAYLOR, TAYLOR & HOBSON LIMITED, a Company registered under the Laws of Great Britain, and ARTHUR WARMISHAM, British Subject, both of 5 104, Stoughton Street, Leicester, do hereby declare the nature of this invention to be as follows:—

10 This invention relates to optical objectives for photographic or projection or like purposes, corrected for spherical and chromatic aberrations and for coma, astigmatism, distortion and curvature of field, and comprising four axially aligned components separated by air gaps, the two 15 inner components consisting of compound dispersive meniscus components, with their concave surfaces facing one another, whilst the two outer components consist of simple collective elements.

20 The invention has for its primary object to secure improved zonal spherical aberration correction or to reduce the higher order overcorrected spherical aberration in such an objective, and thereby 25 to obtain in comparison with known objectives of this kind either a higher aperture with generally the same degree of correction or considerably improved correction at the usual apertures.

30 In the objective according to the present invention one or each of the outer collective components is made of a glass having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8.

35 Various examples of glass having such high refractive index are given in British Patent Specification No. 462,304, such glass having as its main constituents oxides of elements such as tungsten, tan-

talum, lanthalam, thorium, yttrium, zir- 40 conium, hafnium and columbium.

The sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the front surface of the front dispersive component and the rear surface 45 of the rear dispersive component conveniently lies between 4.25 and 4.85 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

When the relative aperture is not less 50 than F/1.5, the sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the front and rear surfaces of the rear collective component should preferably be less than 1.6 55 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

It is to be understood that the term "front" as herein used refers to the side 60 of the objective nearer to the longer conjugate and the term "rear" to that nearer the shorter conjugate.

Numerical data of four convenient 65 practical examples of objective according to the invention are given in the following tables, in which the radii of curvature of the individual surfaces are designated by 70  $R_1$   $R_2$  . . . . . counting from the front, the positive sign indicating that the surface is convex towards the front and the negative sign that it is concave 75 thereto, whilst the thicknesses of the individual elements along the axis are designated by  $D_1$   $D_2$  . . . . . , and the axial air spaces between the various components by  $S_1$   $S_2$   $S_3$ . The tables also give the mean refractive indices and the Abbé V numbers of the glasses used for the individual elements.

[Price 1/-]

Price 3s.

Price 4s 6d.

Price 3s. 6d.

## EXAMPLE I.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .8106$	$D_1 .084$	1.6130	59.3
$R_2 + 5.336$	$S_1 .0359$		
$R_3 + .4226$	$D_2 .1332$	1.6130	59.3
$R_4 + .8628$	$D_3 .0594$	1.6130	37.4
$R_5 + .2784$	$S_2 .2244$		
$R_6 - .3243$	$D_4 .0820$	1.6446	33.5
$R_7 + .3955$	$D_5 .1127$	1.6441	48.3
$R_8 - .4394$	$S_3 .0061$		
$R_9 + 1.934$	$D_6 .1332$	1.8040	42.4
$R_{10} - 1.168$			

## EXAMPLE II.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/1.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .9289$	$D_1 .0943$	1.804	42.4
$R_2 + 3.418$	$S_1 .0359$		
$R_3 + .4474$	$D_2 .1332$	1.613	59.3
$R_4 - 5.102$	$D_3 .0594$	1.613	37.4
$R_5 + .2930$	$S_2 .2246$		
$R_6 - .3464$	$D_4 .0820$	1.6446	33.5
$R_7 + .4611$	$D_5 .1128$	1.6441	48.3
$R_8 - .4588$	$S_3 .0062$		
$R_9 + 1.935$	$D_6 .1332$	1.804	42.4
$R_{10} - 1.186$			

## EXAMPLE III.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/1.4.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .8369$	$D_1 .0862$	1.613	59.4
$R_2 + 7.008$	$S_1 .0021$		
$R_3 + .4204$	$D_2 .1524$	1.613	59.4
$R_4 + 1.752$	$D_3 .0368$	1.621	36.1
$R_5 + .2920$	$S_2 .2102$		
$R_6 - .3581$	$D_4 .0368$	1.6457	33.9
$R_7 + .7508$	$D_5 .1682$	1.644	48.3
$R_8 - .5053$	$S_3 .0021$		
$R_9 + 3.003$	$D_6 .1209$	1.850	42.0
$R_{10} - 1.067$			

## EXAMPLE IV.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .9237$	$D_1 .1066$	1.850	42.0
$R_2 + 3.083$	$S_1 .0345$		
$R_3 + .4068$	$D_2 .1283$	1.613	59.3
$R_4 + 3.026$	$D_3 .0572$	1.613	37.4
$R_5 + .2681$	$S_2 .2160$		
$R_6 - .3122$	$D_4 .0789$	1.644	33.5
$R_7 + .6348$	$D_5 .1085$	1.644	48.3
$R_8 - .4234$	$S_3 .0059$		
$R_9 + 1.644$	$D_6 .0839$	1.613	59.3
$R_{10} - .8468$			

It will be noticed that the high index glass is employed in Examples I and III for the rear component alone, in Example IV for the front component alone, and in Example II for both front and rear components. The sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the third and eighth surfaces is in Example I 4.64, in Example II 4.41, in Example III 4.36, and in Example IV 4.82. The sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the two surfaces of the rear component is in Example I 1.373, in Example II 1.395, in Example III 1.335, and in Example IV 1.789.

All four examples show good zonal

spherical aberration correction, the first two being almost perfectly corrected in this respect. Thus the maximum departure from paraxial focus in Example I is only .08%, and in Example II .14%, whilst in Example III, although greater than in Examples I and II, it is still only .50% and in Example IV it is .40%. All the examples also maintain the good correction for the other aberrations which is characteristic of well-designed objectives of the kind to which the invention relates.

Dated this 23rd day of December, 1938.

A. F. PULLINGER,

Agent for the Applicants.

## COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

### Improvements in or relating to Optical Objectives

We, TAYLOR, TAYLOR & HOBSON LIMITED, a Company registered under the Laws of Great Britain, and ARTHUR WARMISHAM, British Subject, both of 104, Stoughton Street, Leicester, do hereby declare the nature of this invention and in what manner the same is to be performed, to be particularly described and ascertained in and by the following statement:—

This invention relates to optical objectives for photographic or projection or like purposes, corrected for spherical and chromatic aberrations and for coma, astigmatism, distortion and curvature of field, and comprising four axially aligned components separated by air gaps, the two inner components consisting of compound dispersive meniscus components, with their concave surfaces facing one another, whilst the two outer components consist of simple collective elements.

The invention has for its primary object to secure improved zonal spherical aberration correction or to reduce the higher order overcorrected spherical aberration in such an objective, and thereby to obtain in comparison with known objectives of this kind either a higher aperture with generally the same degree of correction or considerably improved correction at the usual apertures.

In the objective according to the present invention one or each of the outer collective components is made of a glass having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8, and the sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the front surface of the front dispersive component and the rear surface of the rear dispersive component

lies between 4.25 and 4.85 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

Various examples of glass having the desired high refractive index are given in British Patent Specification No. 462,304, such glass having as its main constituents oxides of elements such as tungsten, tantalum, lanthalam, thorium, yttrium, zirconium, hafnium and colobmium.

When the relative aperture is not less than F/1.5, the sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the front and rear surfaces of the rear collective component should preferably be less than 1.6 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

It is to be understood that the term "front" as herein used refers to the side of the objective nearer to the longer conjugate and the term "rear" to that nearer the shorter conjugate.

Numerical data of four convenient practical examples of objective according to the invention (of which the first is illustrated in the accompanying drawing) are given in the following tables, in which the radii of curvature of the individual surfaces are designated by  $R_1, R_2, \dots$  counting from the front, the positive sign indicating that the surface is convex towards the front and the negative sign that it is concave thereto, whilst the thicknesses of the individual elements along the axis are designated by  $D_1, D_2, \dots$ , and the axial air spaces between the various components by  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ . The tables also give the mean refractive indices and the Abbé V numbers of the glasses used for the individual elements.

## EXAMPLE I.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .8106$			
	$D_1 .084$	1.6130	59.3
$R_2 + 5.336$			
	$S_1 .0359$		
$R_3 + .4226$			
	$D_2 .1332$	1.6130	59.3
$R_4 + .8628$			
	$D_3 .0594$	1.6130	37.4
$R_5 + .2784$			
	$S_2 .2244$		
$R_6 - .3243$			
	$D_4 .0820$	1.6446	33.5
$R_7 + .3955$			
	$D_5 .1127$	1.6441	48.3
$R_8 - .4394$			
	$S_3 .0061$		
$R_9 + 1.934$			
	$D_6 .1332$	1.8040	42.4
$R_{10} - 1.168$			

## EXAMPLE II.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/1.5.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .9289$			
	$D_1 .0943$	1.804	42.4
$R_2 + 3.418$			
	$S_1 .0359$		
$R_3 + .4474$			
	$D_2 .1332$	1.613	59.3
$R_4 - 5.102$			
	$D_3 .0594$	1.613	37.4
$R_5 + .2930$			
	$S_2 .2246$		
$R_6 - .3464$			
	$D_4 .0820$	1.6446	33.5
$R_7 + .4611$			
	$D_5 .1128$	1.6441	48.3
$R_8 - .4588$			
	$S_3 .0062$		
$R_9 + 1.935$			
	$D_6 .1332$	1.804	42.4
$R_{10} - 1.186$			

## EXAMPLE III.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/1.4.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .8369$	$D_1 .0862$	1.613	59.4
$R_2 + 7.008$	$S_1 .0021$		
$R_3 + .4204$	$D_2 .1524$	1.613	59.4
$R_4 + 1.752$	$D_3 .0368$	1.621	36.1
$R_5 + .2920$	$S_2 .2102$		
$R_6 - .3581$	$D_4 .0368$	1.6457	33.9
$R_7 + .7508$	$D_5 .1682$	1.644	48.3
$R_8 - .5053$	$S_3 .0021$		
$R_9 + 3.003$	$D_6 .1209$	1.850	42.0
$R_{10} - 1.067$			

## EXAMPLE IV.

Equivalent focal length 1.000.		Relative aperture F/2.	
Radius	Thickness or Separation	Refractive Index $n_D$	Abbé V Number
$R_1 + .9237$	$D_1 .1066$	1.850	42.0
$R_2 + 3.083$	$S_1 .0345$		
$R_3 + .4068$	$D_2 .1283$	1.613	59.3
$R_4 + 3.026$	$D_3 .0572$	1.613	37.4
$R_5 + .2681$	$S_2 .2160$		
$R_6 - .3122$	$D_4 .0789$	1.644	33.5
$R_7 + .6348$	$D_5 .1085$	1.644	48.3
$R_8 - .4234$	$S_3 .0059$		
$R_9 + 1.644$	$D_6 .0839$	1.613	59.3
$R_{10} - .8468$			

It will be noticed that the high index glass is employed in Examples I and III for the rear component alone, in Example IV for the front component  
 5 alone, and in Example II for both front and rear components. The sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the third and eighth surfaces is in Example I 4.64, in Example II 4.41, in Example  
 10 III 4.36, and in Example IV 4.82. The sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the two surfaces of the rear component is in Example I 1.373, in Example II 1.395, in Example III 1.335,  
 15 and in Example IV 1.789.

All four examples show good zonal spherical aberration correction, the first two being almost perfectly corrected in this respect. Thus the maximum departure from paraxial focus in Example I  
 20 is only .08%, and in Example II .14%, whilst in Example III, although greater than in Examples I and II, it is still only .50% and in Example IV it is .40%.  
 25 All the examples also maintain the good correction for the other aberrations which is characteristic of well-designed objectives of the kind to which the invention relates.

30 Having now particularly described and

ascertained the nature of our said invention and in what manner the same is to be performed, we declare that what we claim is:—

1. An optical objective of the kind described, in which one or each of the outer collective components is made of glass  
 35 having a mean refractive index higher than 1.75 and preferably higher than 1.8, and the sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the front surface of the front dispersive component and of the rear surface of the rear dispersive component lies between 4.25 and 4.85 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length  
 40 of the objective. 45

2. An optical objective as claimed in Claim 1, having a relative aperture not less than F/1.5 in which the sum of the numerical values of the curvatures of the  
 50 front and rear surfaces of the rear collective component is less than 1.6 times the reciprocal of the equivalent focal length of the objective.

3. An optical objective having numerical data substantially as set forth in any one of the tables herein. 55

Dated this 28th day of November, 1939.

PULLINGER & MALET-VEALE,  
 Agents for the Applicants.

[This Drawing is a full-size reproduction of the Original.]

