

**EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

Application number: **86402588.7**

Int. Cl. 4: **G 02 B 13/02**

Date of filing: **21.11.86**

Priority: **03.12.85 US 803976**

Applicant: **Angénieux, Pierre, 87 Chemin des Hauts Crêts, ch-1223 Cologny Genève (CH)**

Date of publication of application: **16.06.87**  
**Bulletin 87/25**

Inventor: **Angénieux, Pierre, 87 Chemin des Hauts Crêts, ch-1223 Cologny Genève (CH)**


Designated Contracting States: **CH DE FR GB IT LI**

Representative: **Tony-Durand, Serge, Cabinet Tony-Durand 22, Boulevard Voltaire, F-75011 Paris (FR)**

**54 A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing.**

**57** A high relative aperture objective lens system with a compound focusing system, comprising four lens components of varying respective distances, in which the first component is of positive refractive power, the second component is of positive refractive power, the third component is of negative refractive power and the fourth component is of positive refractive power.

The said lens being designed so that focusing is produced by translating the said second and fourth components, the aberrations—and notably coma—being well corrected throughout the whole focusing distance range.



"A HIGH RELATIVE APERTURE OBJECTIVE LENS SYSTEM WITH COMPOUND FOCUSING"  
BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention concerns a telephoto objective lens system of high relative aperture in which focusing is obtained by the simultaneous axial translation of two of its components.

5           Photographic lenses are generally designed for the best aberrational correction at an infinite focusing distance, so that when focusing is made upon finite distances -by the global translation of the whole lens system- aberrational correction is degraded, especially in the case where the lens is  
10 of high relative aperture.

It has been suggested to combine a global translation of the whole lens system with an internal relative translation of one of its  
15 components in order to balance aberrational correction, or to translate only several components.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

20           The present invention concerns a new device allowing improvement of the aberrational correction -and particularly coma- throughout the whole focusing distance range, the lens system according to the said new device comprising four lens  
25 components, axially arranged from the front to the rear of the lens system, thereafter said "first lens component", "second lens component", "third lens component" and "fourth lens component".

30           - The front first lens component being of positive refractive power ;

- the second lens component being of positive refractive power ;

- the third lens component being of negative refractive power ;

- and the rear fourth lens component being of positive refractive power.

5           The second component having a convex front surface and a concave rear surface ;

          The third lens component being made of two parts separated by an air gap :

10           - A front part, the rear surface of which is concave, and in which is concentrated most of the negative refractive power of the third lens component ;

          - and the rear part, the front surface of which is concave and the rear surface of which is convex, the positive or negative refractive power of the said rear part of the third lens component being very small -and even possibly nil.

15           The presence of the said rear part of the third lens component being mainly justified by aberrational correction.

20           The said lens system being designed so that focusing is obtained by the simultaneous axial translation of its second and fourth lens component, the aberrations -and notably coma- being well corrected throughout the whole focusing distance range.

25           The axial translation of the second and fourth lens components being forward when the focusing distance varies from infinity to a finite distance.

30           These two compound translations have different amplitudes, the displacement of the second lens component being less than that of the fourth lens component.

          These two compound translations can be

linked, for the simplicity of the construction, by a linear law, but this condition is clearly not limitative : the law guiding the respective translations of these two mobile components can be, if necessary, established in order to obtain best results, as far as aberrational correction is concerned, throughout the whole focusing distance range.

The preferred position of the diaphragm is inside the third lens component, or between the third and fourth lens components. But it can also be in any other location to best fulfill the needs concerning the field-of-view and the tailoring of vignetting, as any man of the craft will easily understand.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The drawings correspond to two examples.

In the first example the diaphragm is located inside the third lens component ; In the second example the diaphragm is located between the third and the fourth lens component.

- Fig. 1a is a longitudinal section view of the first example for an infinite focusing distance ;

- Fig. 1b is a longitudinal section view of the same first example for a focusing distance corresponding to an image magnification of  $-0.12$  ;

- Fig. 2a is a longitudinal section view of the second example for an infinite focusing distance ;

- Fig. 2b is a longitudinal section view of the same second example for a focusing distance

corresponding to an image magnification of  $-0,12$  ;

- Fig. 3a is a graphic representation of the various aberrations of the first example for an infinite focusing distance ;

5 - Fig. 3b is a graphic representation of the various aberrations of the same first example for a focusing distance corresponding to an image magnification of  $-0,12$  ;

10 - Fig. 4a is a graphic representation of the various aberrations of the second example for an infinite focusing distance ;

15 - Fig. 4b is a graphic representation of the various aberrations of the same second example for a focusing distance corresponding to an image magnification of  $-0,12$ .

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIEMENTS

20 On Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 are represented two lens systems in which we find, from the front to the rear :

The first lens component, denoted I, made of a single lens element L1 of positive refractive power ;

25 The second lens component, denoted II, made of two lens elements :

- a lens element L2, the positive refractive power of which is mainly due to the high curvature of its convex front surface ;

30 - and a lens element L3, the negative refractive power of which is mainly due to its concave rear surface.

In example 1, L2 and L3 are separated by a small air gap and in example 2, L2 and L3 are

cemented in a doublet.

The third lens component, denoted III, made of two parts :

5 - a front part made of a single lens element L4, the negative refractive power of which is mainly due to the curvature of its rear concave surface ;

10 - and the rear part made of the two lens elements L5 and L6 cemented in a doublet, with a concave front surface and a concave front surface and a convex rear surface.

And the fourth lens component, denoted IV, made of the two lens elements L7 and L8 cemented in a doublet.

15 Next we give the numerical data relevant to our two examples, where  $R_i$  is the radius of curvature of the  $i$ -th surface, counting from the front,  $D_i$  the lens thickness or the air separation,  $N_i$  the refractive index and  $V_i$  the Abbe number.

## EXAMPLE 1

F = 100 Aperture 1:2.3 Field = +/- 618

R1 = 47.604 D1 = 6.66 N1 = 1.589 V1 = 6.12  
 R2 = -1112.252 D2 = 1.67\*

5

R3 = 34.355 D3 = 7.77 N3 = 1.497 V3 = 81.6  
 R4 = 3149.161 D4 = 0.06  
 R5 =  $\infty$  D5 = 2.22 N5 = 1.785 V5 = 25.9  
 R6 = 72.824 D6 = 14.99\*

10

R7 = 991.259 D7 = 1.39 N7 = 1.772 V7 = 49.7  
 R8 = 22.317 D8 = 6.11  
 R9 = -31.786 D9 = 4.44 N9 = 1.806 V9 = 40.9  
 R10 = -13.670 D10 = 1.39 N10 = 1.772 V10 = 49.7  
 R11 = -36.997 D11 = 9.99\*

15

R12 = 52.449 D12 = 1.39 N12 = 1.728 V12 = 28.4  
 R13 = 28.378 D13 = 3.33 N13 = 1.772 V13 = 49.7  
 R14 = -376.983

20

Back-focus = 44.79  $\Sigma D = 61.41$

\* For  $\gamma = -0.12$

25

f1 = 77.37 D2 = 0.24  
 f2 = 221.95 D6 = 16.41  
 f3 = -31.60 D11 = 0.32

## EXAMPLE 2

$F = 100$       Aperture = 1:2.8      Field = +/- 6[2]

5  
 R1 = 47.390    D1 = 4.74    N1 = 1.589    V1 = 61.2  
 R2 = -1223.349    D2\* = 1.75

R3 = 33.356    D3 = 5.73    N3 = 1.497    V3 = 81.6  
 R4 = 542.893    D4 = 0.05  
 R5 = 695.591    D5 = 1.99    N5 = 1.785    V5 = 25.9  
 R6 = 69.594    D6\* = 17.51

10  
 R7 = 333.114    D7 = 1.25    N7 = 1.772    V7 = 49.7  
 R8 = 21.850    D8 = 3.49  
 R9 = -29.268    D9 = 2.99    N9 = 1.806    V9 = 40.9  
 R10 = -13.321    D10 = 1.25    N10 = 1.772    V10 = 47.9  
 15 R11 = -33.994    D11\* = 11.47

R12 = 49.284    D12 = 1.25    N12 = 1.728    V12 = 28.4  
 R13 = 30.565    D13 = 2.74    N13 = 1.772    V13 = 49.7  
 R14 = -632.129

20  
 Back-focus = 39.78     $\Sigma D = 56.21$

= 55.54    \*for  $\sqrt{\quad} = -0.12$

25  
 f1 = 77.29    D2 = 0.60  
 f2 = 216.50    D6 = 18.65  
 f3 = -31.25    D11 = 1.26



Focusing is obtained by the simultaneous axial translation of the second and fourth lens components, the fourth lens component carrying the bulk of magnification variations, whereas the mission of the second lens component is mostly to correct aberrations -and particularly coma- for close focusing distances.

It should be understood that the two given examples do not restrict the scope of the present invention. It is clear that the object of the present invention can equally be accomplished if the number of lens elements is increased, for example by breaking some lens elements into two single lens elements, and/or if the curvature of some refractive surfaces are significantly altered, within certain limits, as any man of the craft will understand.

To obtain best performances, the following conditions must be satisfied :

- (1)  $f_3 < f_1 < f_2$
- (2)  $.22 < f_3/F < .44$
- (3)  $.40 < \phi/F < .80$
- (4)  $.25 < R_{II}/F < .50$
- (5)  $.15 < R_{III}/F < .30$
- (6)  $P_{g,f} - \tilde{P}_{g,f} > .02$

Where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are the focal lengths of the first, second and third lens components respectively ;

Where  $F$  is the focal length of the whole lens system ;

Where  $\phi$  represents the focal length of the combination of the first and second lens component when their relative position correspond to an

infinite focusing distance ;

Where  $R_{II}$  represents the radius of curvature of the convex front surface of the second lens component ;

5 Where  $R_{III}$  represents the radius of curvature of the concave rear surface of the front part of the third lens component ;

And where  $P_{g,f}$  is the partial relative dispersion of the glass in which at least one lens element of the second lens component is made, given  
 10 by the formula :  $P_{g,f} = (n_g - n_F) / (n_F - n_C)$   
 in which  $n_g$ ,  $n_F$  and  $n_C$  are the refractive indexes for the wave lengths of 436, 486 and 656 nanometers respectively and where  $\tilde{P}_{g,f}$  is the Abbe line, given  
 15 by the formula :  $\tilde{P}_{g,f} = 0.6438 - 0.001682 V_d$  is the Abbe number.

Conditions (1) and (2) allow the best structure in the whole lens system by adequately distributing the refractive powers of the first,  
 20 second and third lens components and yet permitting a correct balance of all aberrations.

Condition (3) is required for a compact lens system : the first and second lens components together constitute a front lens component of  
 25 sufficient positive refractive power to give a telephoto lens system when combined with the third lens component and the rear fourth lens component.

Condition (4), which demands a high curvature for the front surface of the second lens component, permits, among other effects, the maintenance of  
 30 coma correction when the second lens component is translated for focusing.

Condition (5), which demands a high curvature for the rear surface of the front part of the third

lens component, serving the purpose of concentrating most of the negative refractive power of the third lens component in its front part, allows to compensate the influence of the first and second lens components upon various aberrations, and in particular to obtain the best sine condition.

Condition (6) is required for the best correction of chromatism per reducing the secondary spectrum. This condition demands the use of at least one special glass know in the trade under the name of ED.

C L A I M S

1 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing, comprising four lens components axially arranged, from the front to the rear of the lens system, so that the first lens component is of positive refractive power, the second lens component is of positive refractive power, the third lens component is of negative refractive power and the fourth lens component is of positive refractive power, the said second lens component having a convex front surface and a concave rear surface, the said third lens component being made of two parts separated by an air gap, the front part of the said third lens component being of negative refractive power and having a concave rear surface and the rear part of the said third lens component having a concave front surface and a convex rear surface, whereby the said objective lens system performs focusing by simultaneously translating forward the said second and fourth lens components only when the object moves from infinity to a finite distance, the translation of the said second lens component being of lesser amplitude than that of the said fourth lens component.

2 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 1 and satisfying the following conditions :

$$(1) f_3 < f_1 < f_2$$

$$(2) .22 < f_3/F < .44$$

Where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  represent the focal lengths of the first, second and third lens component respectively and where  $F$  represents the focal length of the whole objective lens system.

3 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 2 and satisfying the following condition :

5 .40 <  $\phi$  / F < .80 where  $\phi$  represents the focal length of the combination of the first and second lens component when their relative position corresponds to the infinite focusing distance.

10 4 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 2 and satisfying the following condition :

.25 <  $R_{II}$  / < .50 where  $R_{II}$  represents the radius of curvature of the front surface of the second lens component.

15 5 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 2 and satisfying the following condition :

.15 <  $R_{III}$  / F < .30 where  $R_{III}$  represents the radius of curvature of the rear surface of the front part of the third lens component.

20 6 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 1 and where the second lens component comprises at least one lens element of positive refractive power made of a special glass satisfying to the following condition :

25  $P_{g,f} - \tilde{P}_{g,f} > .02$  where  $P_{g,f}$  is the partial relative dispersion of the said special glass, given by the formula :  $P_{g,f} = (n_g - n_F) / (n_F - n_C)$  in which  $n_g$ ,  $n_F$  and  $n_C$  are the refractive indexes for the wave lengths of 436, 486 and 656 nanometers respectively and where  $\tilde{P}_{g,f}$  is the Abbe line, given by the formula :  $\tilde{P}_{g,f} = 0.6438 - 0.001682 V_d$  in which  $V_d$  is the Abbe number.

7 - A high relative aperture objective lens

system with compound focusing according to claim 1 and in which the diaphragm is located inside the air gap separating the front part and the rear part of the third lens component.

- 5           8 - A high relative aperture objective lens system with compound focusing according to claim 1 and in which the diaphragm is located between the third and the fourth lens component.

Fig. 1a




Fig. 1b




Fig:2a






Fig:2b







*Fig: 3a*



*Fig: 3b*



**Fig:4a**



**Fig:4b**

